## Bot vs. Bot: Evading Machine Learning Malware Detection



blackhať

JSA 2017



hyrum@endgame.com V @drhyrum



### Why Machine Learning?



filesize

## Why Machine Learning?

prefix) registry count(



Automated Sophisticated relationships

Generalizes

## Goal: Can You Break Machine Learning?

Static machine learning model trained on millions of samples



- Simple structural changes that don't change behavior
  - upx\_unpack
  - '.text' -> '.foo' (remains valid entry point)
  - create '.text' and populate with '.text from calc.exe'





Machine Learning Model



### Yes! And it can be automated!



Bus + Noise = Ostrich

- Machine learning models have blind spots / hallucinate (modeling error)
- Depending on model and level of access, they can be straightforward to exploit
- Adversarial examples can generalize across models / model types (Goodfellow 2015)
  - blind spots in MY model may also be blind spots in YOUR model

## Taxonomy of ML Attacks in infosec

#### adversary's knowledge about your model

#### An adversary...

#### ...has your model

- known architecture + weights
- direct attack on model
- "easy" for deep learning
- gradient perturbation [for Android malware] (Papernot et al. 2016)
- dueling models / GAN [for DGA detection] (Anderson et al. 2016)

#### ...can get a score

- black box...
- ...can arbitrarily probe and retrieve a **score** / **confidence**

### ...can get good/bad

- black box...
- ...can arbitrarily probe for **malicious** / **benign**

EvadeML [for PDF malware] (Xu, Qi, Evans, 2016)

#### difficulty for adversary to bypass

## Related Work: full access to model

Bus (99%), Ostrich (1%)

Malware (90%), Benign (10%)



Attacker requires full knowledge of model Generated sample may not be valid PE file

### Related Work: attack score-reporter



EvadeML [for PDF malware] (Xu, Qi, Evans, 2016)

## Summary of Previous Works

### **Gradient-based attacks: perturbation or GAN**

- Attacker requires full knowledge of model structure and weights
- Generated sample may not be valid PE file

### **Genetic Algorithms**

- Attacker requires score from black box model
- Requires oracle/sandbox [expensive] to ensure that functionality is preserved

**Goal:** Design a machine learning agent that

- bypass **black-box** machine learning using
- format- and function-preserving mutations

### **Reinforcement Learning!**

### Atari Breakout



Nolan Bushnell, Steve Wozniak, Steve Bristow

Inspired by Atari Pong

"A lot of features of the Apple II went in because I had designed Breakout for Atari" (The Woz)

#### Game

- Bouncing ball + rows of bricks
- Manipulate paddle (left, right)
- Reward for eliminating each brick

### Atari Breakout: an Al



### Environment

- Bouncing ball + rows of bricks
- Manipulate paddle (*left, right, nothing*)
- Reward for eliminating each brick

### Agent

- Input: **environment state** (*pixels*)
- Output: action (left, right) via policy
- Feedback: delayed **reward** (score)
- Agent learns through 1000s of games:

what action is most useful given a screenshot of the Atari gameplay? https://gym.openai.com/envs/Breakout-v0

### Learning: rewards and credit assignment



### Anti-malware evasion: an Al



### Environment

- A malware sample (Windows PE)
- Buffet of malware mutations
  - preserve format & functionality
- Reward from static malware classifier

### Agent

- Input: **environment state** (*malware bytes*)
- Output: action (stochastic)
- Feedback: **reward** (AV reports benign)

https://github.com/endgameinc/gym-malware

## The Agent's State Observation



### **Features**

- Static Windows PE file features compressed to 2350 dimensions
  - General file information (size)
  - Header info
  - Section characteristics
  - Imported/exported functions
  - Strings
  - File byte and entropy histograms
- Feed a neural network to choose the best action for the given "state"

## The Agent's Manipulation Arsenal



### **Functionality-preserving mutations:**

#### • Create

- New Entry Point (w/ trampoline)
- New Sections
- Random Imports
- Random bytes to PE overlay
- Bytes to end of section
- Modify
  - Random sections to common name
  - (break) signature
  - Debug info
  - UPX pack / unpack
  - Header checksum
  - Signature



## The Machine Learning Model



### **Static PE malware classifier**

- gradient boosted decision tree (for which one can't directly do gradient-based attack)
- need not be known to the attacker



Machine learning malware model for demo purposes only. Resemblance to Endgame or other vendor models is incidental.

# Ready, Fight!

### **Evasion Results**

- Agent training: 15 hours for 100K trials (~10K games x 10 turns ea.)
- Using malware samples from VirusShare



**Evasion rate on 200 holdout samples** 

**Cross-evasion:** detection rate on VirusTotal (average)

- from 35/62 (original)
- to 25/62 (evade)

## Model Hardening Strategies

### Feedback to the human

category	evasion %	dominant action sequence
ransomware	12%	<pre>upx_unpack -&gt; overlay_append -&gt; section_rename</pre>
virut	5%	upx_unpack -> section_add -> imports_append

### **Adversarial training**

(train with new evasive variants)

Ransomware evasion drops from 12% to 8%



### **Big Picture**

- Attack your own model to discover and fix blind spots!
- Limit isolated exposure of your model
- Limit exposing a score (easier attacks possible)





## Thank you!

#### Hyrum Anderson

Technical Director of Data Science



🖌 hyrum@endgame.com 🔰 @drhyrum 🛛 🖻 /in/hyrumanderson

**Co-contributors:** 

Anant Kharkar, University of Virginia **Bobby Filar, Endgame** Phil Roth, Endgame

ENDGAME.